arXiv:1209.2305v2 [math.DG] 21 Sep 2012

NORMAL CYCLES AND CURVATURE MEASURES OF SETS WITH D.C. BOUNDARY

DUŠAN POKORNÝ AND JAN RATAJ

Abstract. We show that for every compact full-dimensional submanifold of a Euclidean space with d.c. (delta-convex) boundary there exists a unique Legendrian cycle such that the associated curvature measures fulfill a local version of the Gauss-Bonnet formula. This was known in dimensions two and three and was open in higher dimensions. We also show that the associated Legendrian cycles have the set-additivity property.

1. Introduction

The goal of extending the notion of curvature to non-smooth sets (with singularities) belongs to important tasks of geometry for decades. We consider here only subsets of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, though some approaches can be transferred to the Riemannian setting. It turned out that curvature measures can be derived from a more complex structure called normal cycle; this idea can be found by Sulanke & Wintgen [17] for smooth sets, Zähle [18] for sets with positive reach, Fu [5] for more general sets, and later developped by others.

To describe the basic idea, consider a full-dimensional compact subset A of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with C^2-smooth boundary, and let $\operatorname{nor} A$ be its unit normal bundle, i.e., $\operatorname{nor} A$ consists of pairs (x,n), where x is a boundary point of A and n is the unit outer normal vector to A at x. The normal cycle N_A of A is the $(d-1)$-dimensional current which is given by integrating over the oriented manifold $\operatorname{nor} A$, i.e.,

$$N_A(\phi)=\int_{\operatorname{nor} A}\phi=\int_{\operatorname{nor} A}\langle\xi_A,\phi\rangle\,d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$$

for any smooth $(d-1)$-form ϕ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ (here ξ_A is a prescribed unit simple $(d-1)$-vectorfield orienting $\operatorname{nor} A$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$ denotes the $(d-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure).

Given $k\in\{1,\dots,d-1\}$, let φ_k be the kth Lipschitz-Killing differential form on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ which can be described by

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle a^1\wedge\cdots\wedge a^{d-1},\varphi_k(x,n)\rangle\\ &\quad=\ (\mathcal{H}^{d-1-k}(S^{d-1-k}))^{-1}\sum_{\sum_i\sigma(i)=d-1-k}\langle\pi_{\sigma(1)}a^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\pi_{\sigma(d-1)}a^{d-1}\wedge n,\Omega_d\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where a^i are vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\pi_0(x,n)=x$ and $\pi_1(x,n)=n$ are coordinate projections, the sum is taken over finite sequences σ of values from $\{0,1\}$ and

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26B25, 53C65.

Key words and phrases. d.c. function, d.c. submanifold, curvature measure, Legendrian cycle, Gauss-Bonnet formula.

The authors were supported by a cooperation grant of the Czech and the German science foundation, GAČR project no. P201/10/J039.

Ω_d denotes the volume form in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, integrating φ_k over $\operatorname{nor} A$ yields the kth (total) curvature of A, which can also be expressed as the integral of the kth symmetric function of principal curvatures of A:

$$N_A(\varphi_k) = \int_{\operatorname{nor} A} \varphi_k = C_k(A).$$

The kth curvature measure of A, $C_k(A, \cdot)$, is obtained by localizing with a Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$$C_k(A, F) = (N_A \lfloor \mathbf{1}_{F \times \mathbb{R}^d})(\varphi_k) = \int_{\operatorname{nor} A} (\mathbf{1}_F \circ \pi_0)\varphi_k.$$

In case of sets with singularities, the normal direction need not be determined uniquely. A useful and well tractable set class containing both smooth sets and closed convex sets is the family of sets with positive reach (i.e., sets for which any point within a certain distance apart has its unique nearest point in the set). Federer [4] introduced curvature measures for sets with positive reach by means of a local Steiner formula, and Zähle [18] defined normal cycles for these sets.

Fu [5] observed that the normal cycle of a set has a tangencial property called later Legendrian, and he called *Legendrian cycle* any compactly supported, closed rectifiable $(d-1)$-dimensional current in $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ with this property (see Section 3 for exact definition). Fu also observed that an index function (multiplicity factors of the current which are always integer-valued) determines the curent uniquely, and he called geometric those sets which admit a Legendrian cycle with a particular index function based on the local Euler characteristic of the set, see (5). Later [6] he introduced another condition on the Legendrian cycle forcing the validity of the Gauss-Bonnet formula, not only in the global version ($C_0(A, \mathbb{R}^d) = \chi(A)$), but also for the set A intersected with halfspaces, for almost all halfspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$. He showed that subanalytic sets admit such Legendrian cycles.

The particular case of (full-dimensional) sets whose boundary can be represented locally as graph of a Lipschitz function (Lipschitz d-manifold for short) was treated in [14, 15]. Of course, an additional condition has to be imposed, in order that the total boundary curvature is bounded. The normal cycle was obtained by approximation with parallel sets. In a recent paper [8] Fu showed that if a function is *strongly approximable* (i.e., can be approximated well by C^2-smooth functions in certain sence concerning second derivatives, see Definition 2.4) then it admits a second order Taylor expansion almost everywhere (which is a property close the existence of a normal cycle for the subgraph). He asked whether, in particular, delta-convex (d.c.) functions (differences of two convex functions) are strongly approximable. This is easy to see for functions of one variable and known for functions of two variables, see [7].

We show in Section 4 that any d.c. function is strongly approximable, answering so the problem formulated in slightly different wording in [1, Problem 7.3, p. 458], [5] and [8]. The proof is based on a fomula for determinants which makes it possible to find upper bounds for minors of differences of two matrices by means of those of convex combinations. After that, we show that any compact d.c. submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^d$ admits a normal cycle and, hence, curvature measures can be introduced. We construct the normal cycle locally since we were not able to find a global smooth approximation with local strong approximability. The normal cycle fulfills the Gauss-Bonnet formula for intersection with almost all halfpaces, as described above. We also show that the normal cycles depend additively on the d.c. submanifolds.

Note that in the class of Lipschitz submanifolds this generalizes the sets of positive reach which correspond to the semiconvex submanifolds.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Lipschitz and d.c. submanifolds.

A real function is called d.c. (or delta-convex) when it can be expressed as a difference of two convex functions.

Definition 2.1. We call a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a d-dimensional Lipschitz (d.c.) submanifold if any point of M has a neighbourhood (in $\mathbb{R}^d$) which can be represented as a subgraph of a Lipschitz (d.c., respectively) function defined on a $(d-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Given a d-dimensional Lipschitz submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define $\operatorname{Tan}(M, x)$, the tangent cone to M at x, as the set of all vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for which there are sequences $\{x_n\} \subset M \setminus \{x\}$ and $\{t_n\} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $t_n(x_n - x) \to v$.

We call $x \in \partial M$ a regular boundary point of M if $\operatorname{Tan}(M, x)$ is a halfspace.

We define the (Clarke) normal cone $N(M, x)$ as the smallest convex cone containing all accumulation points of unit normals at regular points converging to x. We then define the (Clarke) normal bundle $\operatorname{nor} M$ as

$$\operatorname{nor} M := \{(x, n) \in M \times S^{d-1} : n \in N(M, x)\}.$$

Definition 2.2. We say that two d-dimensional Lipschitz submanifolds M, M' of $\mathbb{R}^d$ *touch* if there is a common point $x \in M \cap M'$ such that $N(M, x) \cap (-N(M', x)) \neq \emptyset$. We say that a hyperplane $H = y + n^\perp$ is *tangent* to a Lipschitz submanifold M if there is an $x \in M \cap H$ such that either n, or $-n$ belongs to $N(M, x)$.

More generally, we say that a k-tuple $M_1, \ldots, M_k$ of Lipschitz submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^d$ *touch* if there is a common point $x \in M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_k$ such that $0 \in N(M_1, x) + \cdots + N(M_k, x)$.

(Note that $M_1, \ldots, M_k$ touch if and only if there are two disjoint subsets I, J of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i$ and $\bigcap_{i \in J} M_i$ are touching Lipschitz submanifolds.)

We will use the standard identification of a hyperplane

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} : y = \langle a, x \rangle + b\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $f = g - h$ be a d.c. function defined on an open convex set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, with convex functions g and h. Pavlica and Zajíček [11] showed that (in our notation) any hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^d$ tangent to the (sub)graph of f is contained in

$$E(g, h) = \{(x - y, g(a) - h(a) - \langle a, x - y \rangle) : a \in G, x \in \partial g(a), y \in \partial h(a)\}$$

and that the set of all hyperplanes tangent to the subgraph of f at its regular points is contained in $E(g, h)$. They also proved that $E(g, h)$ has always σ-finite $(d-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Note that if we define $E(g, h, a)$ as above, only with $a \in G$ fixed, then, since for g and h convex $E(g, h, a)$ is always closed convex, we immediately obtain that it also contains the set of all hyperplanes tangent to the subgraph of f. This gives us immediately the following

Proposition 2.3. *If M is a d.c. submanifold, then the set of all its tangent hyperplanes is of d-dimensional measure* 0.

2.2. **Mollification of delta-convex functions.** We will start with a notion introduced by Fu in [8].

Definition 2.4. *Let* $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ *be open and* $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$. *We say that* f *is strongly approximable if there is a sequence* $f_1, f_2, ... \in C^2(U)$ *that converges to* f *in* $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U)$ *and such that*

$$\int_K \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i\in I, j\in J} \right| \le C(f,K)$$

for every $K \subset U$ *compact and* $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ *of the same cardinality.*

It is known that every strongly approximable functions belongs to the class of so-called Monge-Ampère functions and that every convex function on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is strongly approximable and therefore Monge-Ampère. (For the proofs of these facts and other information, see [5].)

Fu [5] asked whether all d.c. functions are strongly approximable (and therefore Monge-Ampère).

Let $f = g - h$ be a delta-convex function. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} :\to [0,1]$ be a function from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ with values in $[0,1]$, support in $B(0,1)$ and such that integral from Φ over $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is equal to 1. Such a function if often called a mollification kernel and used to produce a class of smooth approximations of (in general) nonsmooth function f as follows. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ put $\Phi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d-1}}\Phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ and define

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = f * \Phi_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} f(t)\Phi_\varepsilon(x-t)dt.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let* f *and* f_ε *be as above and suppose that* f *has a compact support. Denote* $A(f)$ *the set of all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ *such that* $Df(x)$ *exists. Then*

(a) $Df_\varepsilon(x) \in \overline{\mathrm{co}\{Df(y) : y \in B(x,\varepsilon) \cap A(f)\}}$

(b) *for every* $\delta > 0$ *there is* ε_0 *such that for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *there is* $y \in B(x,\delta) \cap A(f)$ *with the property that*

$$|Df(y) - Df_\varepsilon(y)| \le \delta$$

for every $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$.

Proof. Using the Rademacher's theorem about differentiability of Lipschitz functions obtain that and the standard argument concerning differentiability of convolution (see e.g. [2, (2.2), p. 41]) we obtain that

$$Df_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{A(f)} Df(t)\Phi_\varepsilon(x-t)dt.$$

This implies (a).

To prove part (b) first observe that we have $\operatorname{spt} f_{\varepsilon_0} \subset \operatorname{spt} f + B(0,\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \ge \varepsilon_0 > 0$. Choose some $\varepsilon' > 0$ and F a finite δ-dense subset of $\operatorname{spt} f + B(0,\varepsilon')$. Then, using the fact that $Df_\varepsilon \to Df$ pointwise on $A(f)$, we can find $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon'$ such that for every $x \in F$ and every $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ we have $|Df(y) - Df_\varepsilon(y)| \le \delta$, which is what we wanted to prove. $\square$

3. Legendrian cycles and geometric sets

A *Legendrian cycle* is a compactly supported integer multiplicity rectifiable $(d-1)$-current T on $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ with the properties:

$$\partial T = 0 \quad (T \text{ is a cycle}), \tag{1}$$

$$T \llcorner \alpha = 0 \quad (T \text{ is Legendrian}), \tag{2}$$

where α is the contact 1-form in $\mathbb{R}^d$ acting as $\langle (u,v), \alpha(x,n)\rangle = u \cdot n$ (cf. [6]). Any Legendrian cycle T can be represented by integration as

$$T = (\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \llcorner W(T)) \wedge \iota_T a_T, \tag{3}$$

where $W(T)$ is a $(\mathcal{H}^{d-1}, d-1)$-rectifiable subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ ("carrier" of T), a_T is a unit simple tangent $(d-1)$-vectorfield of $W(T)$ and ι_T is an integer-valued integrable function over $W(T)$ ("index function") associated with T (see [15], where Legendrian cycles were called general normal cycles). Note that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact and has positive reach then its normal cycle N_A is Legendrian.

Let $\pi_0 : (x,n) \mapsto x$ and $\pi_1 : (x,n) \mapsto n$ be the two coordinate projections from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let further φ_0 be the zeroth Lipschitz-Killing curvature form (see Introduction and cf. [15]), and let χ denote the Euler-Poincaré characteristic.

Given a Legendrian cycle T, the $(d-1)$-current $\mathcal{J}(T,v,t)$ was defined in [15, p. 145] for almost all $(v,t) \in S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ (see also [6, Theorem 3.1]). If, in particular, $T = N_A$ is the normal cycle of a compact set A with positive reach, then $\mathcal{J}(N_A, v, t)$ is the restriction of the normal cycle of $A \cap H_{v,t}$, where $H_{v,t}$ is the halfspace $\{y : y \cdot v \leq t\}$.

We shall say that a compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ admits a *normal cycle* T if T is a Legendrian cycle, $\pi_0(\operatorname{spt} T) = \partial A$ and

$$\mathcal{J}(T,v,t)(\varphi_0) = \chi(A \cap H_{v,t}) \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^d\text{-almost all } (v,t) \in S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

Such a T is then unique (see [6, Theorem 3.2], we write $T = N_A$ and call it the *normal cycle* associated with A.

Note that condition (4) can be considered as local Gauss-Bonnet formula. If, in particular, $A \subset H_{v,t}$ then $\mathcal{J}(T,v,t) = T$ and (4) is the usual Gauss-Bonnet formula.

It is often convenient to replace (4) with a condition prescribing a particular form of the index function ι_T of T from (3). Fu [5] called compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *geometric* if there exists a (necessarily unique) Legendrian cycle T with $\pi_0(\operatorname{spt} T) = \partial A$ and $\iota_T = \iota_A$, where

$$\iota_A(x,n) := \lim_{r \searrow 0} \operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{s \searrow 0} [\chi(A \cap B(x,r) \cap \{p : (p-x)\cdot n \leq t\})|_{t=-s}^{t=s}]. \tag{5}$$

We shall work with a slightly different form where the limits will be replaced by certain weaker forms.

The topology of flat convergence, i.e., convergence in flat seminorms (denoted $T = (F)\lim_i T_i$) is often used for currents, see [13]. This implies the weak convergence ($T_i(\phi) \to T(\phi)$ for any smooth form ϕ) and is, in fact, equivalent to it if T_i, T are cycles. We shall use the property (following from the definitions) that if T, T_i are Legendrian cycles such that $T = (F)\lim_i T_i$ and if $\mathcal{J}(T,v,t)$ is defined then $\mathcal{J}(T_i,v,t)$ is defined for sufficiently big i and

$$\mathcal{J}(T,v,t) = (F)\lim_i \mathcal{J}(T_i,v,t). \tag{6}$$

This was, in fact, shown in [14, §4].

Another property of the functional $\mathcal{J}(T,v,t)$ following directly from its definition is the additivity:

$$\mathcal{J}(T_1,v,t)+\mathcal{J}(T_2,v,t)=\mathcal{J}(T_1\cup T_2,v,t)+\mathcal{J}(T_1\cap T_2,v,t), \tag{7}$$

whenever all the four $\mathcal{J}$-functionals are defined.

4. Strong approximability of delta-convex functions

Lemma 4.1. *If* $0\le p\le d$ *then*

$$d!=\sum_{k=0}^{d}(-1)^{k-p}\binom{d}{k}(d-k)^{d-p}k^p$$

Proof. The formula is a direct consequence of the formula for computing finite differences (see [10, p. 9]) [1]

$$\Delta^m P(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}P(m-k),$$

used for polynomial $\tilde{P}(k)=k^{d-p}(d-k)^p$ and $m=d$. Note that for any polynomial $P(k)=\sum a_ik^i$ of degree d the dth difference $\Delta^dP(k)$ is equal to $d!a_d$ and that in the case of $\tilde{P}$ we have $a_d=(-1)^p$. □

As a consequence of this observation we can now obtain a formula for determinant of the difference of two matrices.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* A,B *be matrices* $d\times d$*. Then*

$$\det(A-B)=\frac{1}{d!}\sum_{k=0}^{d}(-1)^k\binom{d}{k}\det((d-k)A+kB). \tag{8}$$

Proof. First note that the left side of (8) is equal to

$$\sum_{\pi\in S_d}\sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)+|I|}\left(\prod_{i\notin I}a_{i,\pi(i)}\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{i\in I}b_{i,\pi(i)}\right).$$

and the right side is equal to

$$\frac{1}{d!}\sum_{k=0}^{d}\sum_{\pi\in S_d}\sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)+k}\binom{d}{k}(d-k)^{d-|I|}k^{|I|}\left(\prod_{i\notin I}a_{i,\pi(i)}\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{i\in I}b_{i,\pi(i)}\right).$$

We see that both sides of (8) are polynomials in $a_{i,j}$ and $b_{m,n}$ and therefore it is sufficient to compare coeficients coresponding to the same monomials. This leads to

$$(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)+|I|}=\frac{1}{d!}\sum_{k=0}^{d}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)+k}\binom{d}{k}(d-k)^{d-|I|}k^{|I|}.$$

Therefore we need to verify

$$d!=\sum_{k=0}^{d}(-1)^{k-|I|}\binom{d}{k}(d-k)^{d-|I|}k^{|I|}$$

---

[1] This fact was told to us independently by Noam D. Elkies, Darij Grinberg and Jan Malý, made it possible to reduce our original proof from the first version of the paper.

for every $|I| = 0, ..., d$. But this is exactly Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Finally, using formula (8) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and f, h real functions on U. Suppose that every convex combination of f and g is strongly approximable. Then every linear combination of f and g is strongly approximable as well. In particular, every delta-convex function is strongly approximable.*

Proof. First note that if every convex combination of f and g is strongly approximable, then every linear combination with positive coeficients is strongly approximable as well. Suppose that $f_i \to f$ and $g_i \to g$ are the sequences guaranteed by the strong approximability. It suffices to prove that $f - ag$ is strongly approximable for $a > 0$. We have $f_k - ag_k \to f - ag$ in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U)$. Choose $I, J \subset \{1, ..., d\}$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_K \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 (f_k - ag_k)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i\in I, j\in J} \right| \\
&\quad = \int_K \left| \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{k=0}^{d} (-1)^k \binom{d}{k} \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 ((d-k)f_k + kag_k)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i\in I, j\in J} \right| \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} \int_K \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 ((d-k)f_k + kag_k)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i\in I, j\in J} \right| \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} C((d-k)f + kag, K) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the last part it suffices to use obvious fact that a convex combination of convex functions is again convex. $\square$

5. Approximations of Lipschitz submanifolds

In the following, we will need a result showing that the approximation of Lipschitz submanifolds preserves the homotopy. Given two compact sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we shall write $A \sim B$ if the sets A and B are homotopy equivalent.

Let M be a d-dimensional compact Lipschitz submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with boundary. We say that $\widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M$ is a *supernormal unit bundle* of M if

(i) $\widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M$ is a closed subset of $\partial M \times S^{d-1}$,
(ii) $\widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M \supset \mathrm{nor}\, M$,
(iii) for any $p \in M$, the supernormal cone $\widetilde{N}(M, p) = \{tv : t > 0, (p, n) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M\}$ is a proper convex cone (i.e., does not contain a line).

Lemma 5.1. *Let M_ε be d-dimensional compact Lipschitz submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with boundary, $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, such that*

(a) *$M_\varepsilon \supset M_0$, $\varepsilon > 0$,*
(b) *$M_\varepsilon \to M_0$ in the Hausdorff metric, $\varepsilon \to 0_+$,*
(c) *$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0_+} \mathrm{nor}\, M_\varepsilon$ is contained in a supernomal unit bundle $\widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M_0$ of M_0.*

Then $M_\varepsilon \sim M_0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

Proof. Let $v : \partial M_0 \to S^{d-1}$ be the Lipschitz mapping from Lemma 5.6 associated with M_0 and $\widetilde{\mathrm{nor}} M_0$, and consider the mapping

$$F : (p, t) \mapsto p + tv(p), \quad p \in \partial M_0,\ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We shall proceed in the following steps.

Claim 5.2. *For any open interval I containing 0, the image $F(\partial M_0 \times I)$ contains an open neighbourhood of ∂M_0.*

We apply the inverse function theorem for Lipschitz maps, [3], for F. Since, by Lemma 5.6, for any $p \in \partial M_0$, any element of the subgradient of F at $(p, 0)$ has rank d, the image of F must contain a neighbourhood of p.

Given $p \in \partial M_0$, set

$$t^\varepsilon(p) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : F(p,t) \in \partial M_\varepsilon\}.$$

(Clearly, such a $t^\varepsilon(p)$ always exists since p lies in M_ε and M_ε is compact.)

Claim 5.3. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that for all $p \in \partial M_0$, $t^\varepsilon(p) \leq d_H(M_0, M_\varepsilon)(1-\gamma^2)^{-1/2}$ if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.*

The whole segment $[p, p + t^\varepsilon(p)]$ lies in M_ε. Thus, for $t := \min\{\delta/2, t^\varepsilon(p)\}$ and for arbitrary $q \in \partial M_0$ we have by (11)

$$\begin{aligned} |p + tv(p) - q|^2 &= |tv(p) - (q-p)|^2 \\ &= t^2 + |q-p|^2 - 2tv(p)\cdot(q-p) \\ &\geq t^2 + |q-p|^2 - 2t\gamma|q-p| \\ &= t^2(1-\gamma^2) + (t\gamma - |q-p|)^2 \\ &\geq t^2(1-\gamma^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $p + tv(p) \in M_\varepsilon$, its distance from M_0 must be less or equal to $d_H(M_0, M_\varepsilon)$ and, hence,

$$d_H(M_\varepsilon, M_0) \geq \min\{\delta/2, t^\varepsilon(p)\}\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}.$$

Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be such that $d_H(M_\varepsilon, M_0) < \delta/2\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}$ for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Then, for such ε, $t^\varepsilon(p) \leq d_H(M_0, M_\varepsilon)(1-\gamma^2)^{-1/2}$ and the assertion follows.

Claim 5.4. *The function t^ε is Lipschitz for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Let (U, ϕ), (U, ϕ_ε) be local charts of M_0, M_ε, respectively, where U is an open subset of a hyperplane $n^\perp$, $n \in S^{d-1}$, and let $x_0 \in U$ be such that $p = x_0 + \phi(x_0)n = (x_0, \phi(x_0))$ in the natural coordinates. Clearly, $v(p) = v(x, \phi(x))$ can be considered as a Lipschitz function of $x \in U$ and we can write its (Lipschitz) coordinates $v(x) = (w(x), s(x))$. The equation defining $t = t^\varepsilon$ can be written in the form

$$\phi(x) + ts(x) = \phi_\varepsilon(x + tw(x)).$$

If $(x_0, t^\varepsilon(x_0))$ solves the above equation, we can apply the implicit function theorem for Lipschitz functions (see, e.g., [3, §7.1]) for the function

$$F(x,t) = \phi_\varepsilon(x + tw(x)) - \phi(x) + ts(x),$$

provided that any vector of the subgradient of F at $(x_0, t^\varepsilon(x_0))$ has nonzero last coordinate. But this is true since whenever F is differentiable at some (x,t) then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(x,t)}{\partial t} &= \nabla\phi_\varepsilon(x + tw(x)) \cdot w(x) - s(x) \\ &= -\left(-\nabla\phi_\varepsilon(x + tw(x)), 1\right) \cdot v(x). \end{aligned}$$

The vector in parenthesis on the last line belongs to $N(M_\varepsilon, x + tw(x))$ and, hence, if ε (and, hence, also $t^\varepsilon(x_0)$) is small enough, the last scalar product will be greater or equal to $\eta/2$ for (x,t) close to $(x_0, t^\varepsilon(x_0))$, by (9) and the assumption (c).

Claim 5.5. *There exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that for all $p, q \in \partial M_0$ and $0 < s, t < t_0$, $|F(p,s) - F(q,t)| \geq \tau|p-q|$. Hence, F is one-to-one on $\partial M_0 \times [0,\tau)$ and, if π denotes the projecion $(p,t) \mapsto p$, the mapping $\pi \circ F^{-1}$ is Lipschitz on $F(\partial M_0 \times [0,\tau))$.*

First, using (11) and the obvious fact that $v(p) \cdot v(q) \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((q + tv(q) - p) \cdot v(p))^2 &\leq ((q-p) \cdot v(p))^2 + t^2 + 2t(q-p) \cdot v(p) \\ &\leq \gamma^2 |q-p|^2 + t^2 + 2t(q-p) \cdot v(p). \end{aligned}$$

Further, note that $|F(p,s) - F(q,t)|$ is greater or equal than the distance D of the point $q + tv(q)$ from the line $p + \langle u \rangle$ which fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &= |q + tv(q) - p|^2 - ((q + tv(q) - p) \cdot v(p))^2 \\ &\geq |q-p|^2 + t^2 + 2t(q-p) \cdot v(q) - \gamma^2 |q-p|^2 - t^2 - 2t(q-p) \cdot v(p) \\ &= (1-\gamma^2)|q-p|^2 + 2t(q-p) \cdot (v(q) - v(p)) \\ &\geq (1-\gamma^2)|q-p|^2 - 2t|q-p||v(q) - v(p)| \\ &\geq (1 - \gamma^2 - 2t_0 \operatorname{Lip} v)|q-p|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and choosing $t_0 > 0$ small enough, we are done.

Define now the mapping $g : M_\varepsilon \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$g : (y,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} y, & y \in M_0, \\ (1-t)\pi \circ F^{-1}(y) + ty, & y \in \partial M_\varepsilon \setminus M_0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the above claims that g is a deformation retraction proving that $M_\varepsilon \sim M_0$. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let M be a d-dimensional compact Lipschitz submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with boundary, and let $\widetilde{\operatorname{nor}} M$ be a supernormal unit bundle of M. Then there exists a Lipschitz mapping $v : \partial M \to S^{d-1}$ and a number $0 < \eta < 1$ such that for any $p \in M$,*

$$u \in \widetilde{N}(M,p) \implies u \cdot v(p) \geq \eta |u| \tag{9}$$

and

$$w \in \operatorname{Tan}(M,p) \implies w \cdot v(p) \leq \sqrt{1-\eta^2}|w|. \tag{10}$$

Further, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma < 1$ such that for any $p, q \in M_0$ with $|p-q| < \delta$,

$$p, q \in \partial M_0, |p-q| < \delta \implies (q-p) \cdot v(p) < \gamma |q-p|. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Using the definition of the supernormal unit bundle and the compactness of M, it is not difficult to show that there exists an atlas $((\phi_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I)$ of M and a $\eta > 0$ such that M is covered by the open sets V_α and for each α, $M \cap V_\alpha$ agrees on V_α with the subgraph of a Lipschitz function ϕ_α defined on a hyperplane $n_\alpha^\perp$ ($n_\alpha \in S^{d-1}$) and, moreover, $u \cdot n_\alpha \geq \eta |u|$ whenever $p \in \partial M \cap V_\alpha$ and $u \in \widetilde{N}(M,p)$. Since M is compact, we may assume that I is finite. Let $(\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover (V_α) (i.e., ζ_α are smooth functions supported within V_α and summing up to 1 everywhere on $\bigcup_\alpha V_\alpha$).

Set $\tilde{v}(p) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \zeta_\alpha(p) n_\alpha$, $p \in \partial M$. We have

$$|\tilde{v}(p) - \tilde{v}(q)| = \sum_\alpha (\zeta_\alpha(p) - \zeta_\alpha(q)) n_\alpha \leq \sum_\alpha |\zeta_\alpha(p) - \zeta_\alpha(q)| \leq \left(\sum_\alpha \operatorname{Lip} \zeta_\alpha \right) |p-q|,$$

hence, $\tilde{v}$ is Lipschitz.

If $p \in V_\alpha$ then it follows easily from the construction of the atlas that $u \cdot \tilde{v}(p) \geq \eta|u|$ and, hence, $|\tilde{v}(p)| \geq \eta > 0$ whenever $u \in \widetilde{N}(M,p)$. Thus, we can define $v(p) = \tilde{v}(p)/|\tilde{v}(p)|$ and it follows by standard methods that v is Lipschitz as well. Further, since clearly $|\tilde{v}(p)| \leq 1$, it follows that $u \cdot v(p) \geq \eta|u|$ whenever $u \in \widetilde{N}(M,p)$, which gives (9).

(10) follows from (9) since, by the definition of Clarke normal vectors, if $w \in \operatorname{Tan}(M,p)$ then there must exist a $u \in N(M,p) \subset \widetilde{N}(M,p)$ with $u \cdot w = 0$.

If Q is the Lipschitz constant of v then we get for any $p, q \in M_0$ with $|p-q| < \delta$ and for any $w \in \operatorname{Tan}(M_0, q)$, using (10),

$$\begin{aligned} w \cdot v(p) &\leq |w \cdot v(q)| + |w \cdot (w(p) - w(q)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{1-\eta^2}|w| + Q|p-q||w| \\ &< (\sqrt{1-\eta^2} + L\delta)|w|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma < 1$ such that for any $p, q \in M_0$ with $|p-q| < \delta$,

$$w \in \operatorname{Tan}(M_0, q) \implies w \cdot v(p) < \gamma|w|.$$

(11) follows now by standard methods of analysis. □

6. Intersections of sets with positive reach

Given two sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with positive reach, denote

$$\eta(A,B) = \sup\{\eta \geq 0 : (x,m) \in \operatorname{nor} A, (x,n) \in \operatorname{nor} B \implies \angle(m,n) \leq \pi - \eta\}.$$

It is well-known that $\operatorname{reach}(A \cap B) > 0$ whenever $\eta(A,B) > 0$ (see [4, Theorem 4.10]).

Proposition 6.1. *Given $K, \eta > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(K,\eta) > 0$ with the following property. Whenever $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have positive reach and satistfy*

(i) $\eta(A,B) \geq \eta$,
(ii) $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor} A) \leq K$, $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor} B) \leq K$,

then $\operatorname{reach}(A \cap B) > 0$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A \cap B)) \leq C$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small so that $\eta(A, B-z) \geq \eta/2$ whenever $|z| < \delta$. We use the partition (see [12])

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{nor}(A \cap (B-z)) &= (\operatorname{nor} A \cap \operatorname{Int}(B-z)) \cup (\operatorname{nor}(B-z) \cap \operatorname{Int} A) \\ &\quad \cup \pi(\operatorname{nor}(A,B) \cap g^{-1}\{z\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{nor}(A,B) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}$ is the joint unit normal bundle of A, B, see [12, p. 266], $g : (a,b,u) \mapsto b-a$ and $\pi : (a,b,u) \mapsto (a,u)$. By its definition,

$$\mathcal{H}^{2d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A,B) \cap \{(a,b,u) : |a-b| < \delta\})$$

is bounded by a constant $C = C(K,\eta)$ and, hence, by the co-area theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{|z|<\delta} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A \cap (B-z))\, dz \leq C(K,\eta). \tag{12}$$

Assume additionally that A, B have $C^{1,1}$-smooth boundraries. In particular, any point $a \in \partial A$, $b \in \partial B$ has its unique unit outer normal $\nu_A(x), \nu_B(y)$, respectively.

We shall show that the mapping $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A \cap (B-z))$ is Lipschitz on some neighbourhood of the origin. Take $a_0 \in \partial A \cap \partial B$ and denote

$$T = \nu_A(a_0)^\perp \cap \nu_B(a_0)^\perp \in G(d, d-2)$$

the subspace given as the intersection of the two tangent spaces to the surfaces. Let p_T be the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^d$ onto T. Consider the mapping

$$F : (a, b, z, t) \mapsto (a - b - z, p_T(a - a_0) - t)$$

from $\partial A \times \partial B \times \mathbb{R}^d \times T$ to $\mathbb{R}^d \times T$. Since clearly $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z \partial t}$ has full rank, the implicit function theorem can be applied and we get C^1 (hence, Lipschitz) functions $a = a(z, t)$ and $b = b(z, t)$ defined on a neighbourhood V of $(0, 0)$. Thus, the integrated functions

$$z \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\{a(z, t) : t \in T, (z, t) \in V\}$$

is Lipschitz and measures a neighbourhood of a_0 in the intersection $\partial A \cap \partial(B - z)$. Using a finite covering, we obtain that the function $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\partial A \cap \partial(B - z))$ is Lipschitz on some neighbourhood of 0. The mapping

$$(a, s) \mapsto \left(a, \frac{(1-s)\nu_A(a) + s\nu_B(a)}{|(1-s)\nu_A(a) + s\nu_B(a)|} \right)$$

from $\partial A \cap \partial(B-z) \times [0, 1]$ onto $\operatorname{nor}(A \cap (B-z))$ is Lipschitz under our assumptions, and we thus get that the mapping $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A \cap (B - z))$ is Lipschitz on some neighbourhood of the origin. Together with (12), the assertion follows.

Consider now the general case of sets A, B with positive reach and approximate them with parallel sets A_r, B_r with some small $r > 0$. There is a constant K' such that $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor} A_r), \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor} B_r) \leq K'$ and $\eta(A_r, B_r) \geq \eta/2$ for sufficiently small $r > 0$. Applying the first part of the proof, we get

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\operatorname{nor}(A_r \cap B_r)) \leq C(K', \eta/2).$$

Applying now the approximation [13, Theorem 3.1], we get the desired result. □

7. Tangent affine subspaces

Let $G(d, i)$ be the Grassmannian of i-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with unique invariant probability measure ν_i^d, and let $\mathcal{A}_i^d$ denote the set of all i-dimensional affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with invariant measure μ_i^d given by

$$\mu_i^d(U) = \int_{G(d,i)} \lambda^{d-i}\{z \in L^\perp : L + z \in U\} \, \nu_i^d(dL)$$

for any Borel subset U of $\mathcal{A}_i^d$.

Let M be a d-dimensional d.c. submanifold. We shall say that an affine subspace $F \in \mathcal{A}_i^d$ is *tangent* to M if there exists a point $p \in M \cap F$ such that $F^\perp \cap N(M, p) \neq \emptyset$. Let $T_i(M)$ denote the set of all tangent affine i-subspaces to M.

Lemma 7.1. *For any d-dimensional d.c. submanifold M and for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$,*

$$\mu_i^d(T_i(M)) = 0.$$

Proof. For $i = d-1$, the assertion if given in Proposition 2.3. For general $i \leq d-1$, we shall proceed by induction over d. For $d = 2$ there is nothing to prove. If $d > 2$ we use the fact that the measure μ_i^d can equivalently be given as

$$\mu_i^d(U) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{d-1}^d} \int_{\mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)} \mu_i^{d-1}(U \cap \mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)) \, \mu_{d-1}^d(dE),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)$ is the set of all affine i-subspaces of $E \in \mathcal{A}_{d-1}^d$ (this is a well-known fact from integral geometry and follows e.g. from [16, Theorem 7.1.2]). Thus, we can decompose

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i^d(T_i(M)) &= \int_{T_{d-1}(M)} \int_{\mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)} \mu_i^{d-1}(T_i(M) \cap \mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E))\, \mu_{d-1}^d(dE) \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{A}_{d-1}^d \setminus T_{d-1}(M)} \int_{\mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)} \mu_i^{d-1}(T_i(M) \cap \mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E))\, \mu_{d-1}^d(dE).\end{aligned}$$

The first summand vanishes since $\mu_{d-1}^d(T_{d-1}(M)) = 0$. If, on the other hand, $E \in \mathcal{A}_{d-1}^d$ is not tangent to M then $M \cap E$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional d.c. submanifold of E and, by the induction assumption, $\mu_i^{d-1}(T_i(M) \cap \mathcal{A}_i^{d-1}(E)) = \mu_i^{d-1}(T_i(M \cap E)) = 0$. Hence, the second summand vanishes as well, and the proof is finished. $\square$

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that $A_i \subset \mathcal{A}_i^d$ such that $\nu_i^d(\mathcal{A}_i^d \setminus A_i) = 0$ for every $i = 0, ..., d-1$. Then there are linearly independent directions $v_1, ..., v_d \in S^{d-1}$ and sets $E_1, ..., E_d \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (a) *E_i is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ for every i*
- (b) *for every $1 \le k \le d$ and every $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le d$ the affine subspace defined as*

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k (v_{i_j}^\perp + \alpha_{i_j} v_{i_j})$$

belongs to A_{d-k} whenever $\alpha_j \in E_j$.

Proof. Define a measure μ on $(S^{d-1})^d$ as a product measure of d copies of $(d-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure on S^{d-1}. First note that the set of all linearly independent d-tuples $v_1, ..., v_d \in S^{d-1}$ has a full measure with respect to μ. Next observe that from the definition of μ_i^d one can see that there is a set $G_i \subset G(d,i)$ of full ν_i^d measure such that for every i we have that $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$-almost every translation of every $g \in G_i$ belongs to A_i.

Consider the set

$$T := \{(v_1, ..., v_d) \in (S^{d-1})^d : v_{i_1}^\perp \cap \cdots \cap v_{i_k}^\perp \in G_{d-k}, 1 \le k \le d, 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le d\}.$$

From the representation

$$T := \bigcap_{k=1}^d \bigcap_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le d} \{(v_1, ..., v_d) \in (S^{d-1})^d : v_{i_1}^\perp \cap \cdots \cap v_{i_k}^\perp \in G_{d-k}\} =: T_{i_1,...,i_k}^k.$$

using the fact that every $T_{i_1,...,i_k}^k$ has full measure we see that T has full measure as well. In particular, we can choose linearly independent directions $v_1, ..., v_d \in T$.

Now, form the definition of $T_{i_1,...,i_k}^k$ and the definition of G_{d-k} we see that we can always find a corresponding set $E_{i_1,...,i_k}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of full measure such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k (v_{i_j}^\perp + \alpha_{i_j} v_{i_j})$$

belongs to A_{d-k} whenever $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in E_{i_1,...,i_k}^k$. Put

$$E := \bigcap_{k=1}^d \bigcap_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le d} E_{i_1,...,i_k}^k.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to prove the following claim:

Claim 7.3. *Suppose that* $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *has full measure. Then there are* $E_1, ..., E_d$ *such that* $\overline{E_1} = \cdots = \overline{E_l} = \mathbb{R}$ *and* $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_l \subset E$.

To prove the claim we will proceed by induction by d. The case $d = 1$ follows directly from the fact that every subset of $\mathbb{R}$ of full measure is dense. Suppose now that the claim is true up to some k and we need to prove it for the case $d = k + 1$. First, from the Fubini theorem we know that there is a set Z in $\mathbb{R}$ of full measure such that for every $z \in Z$ the slice

$$E_z = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : (z, x) \in E\}$$

has full measure. Since Z is dense and $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is separable we can find a countable dense set $E_1 \subset Z$. Put

$$E' = \bigcap_{z \in Z'} E_z,$$

it follows that E' is a set of full measure in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $Z' \times E' \subset E$. By induction procedure we know that there are $E_2, ..., E_{k+1}$ such that $\overline{E_2} = \cdots = \overline{E_{k+1}} = \mathbb{R}$ and $E_2 \times \cdots \times E_{k+1} \subset E'$. Now, $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{k+1} \subset E$. ☐

Let M be a d-dimensional d.c. manifold. Let $v_1, ..., v_d \in S^{d-1}$ and $E_1, ..., E_d \subset \mathbb{R}$ be as obtained from Lemma 7.2 with $A_i = (T_i(M))^c$ (this choice is possible by Lemma 7.1). Denote by Γ system of all closed parallelograms in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with every side orthogonal to some v_i.

Lemma 7.4. *Let* $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma$ *be finite,* $C \in \Gamma$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Then there is a* $C' \in \Gamma$ *with the property that for any set* D *of the form* $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap ... \cap C_N$ *with* $C_i \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ *the sets* C' *and* D *do not touch and such that* $\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(C, C') \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. Lemma follows directly from the fact that for a fixed set C' almost every translate of C do not touch with C' together with the fact that there is only finitely many different sets C'. ☐

Corollary 7.5. *There is* $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \Gamma$ *with the following properties:*

- $\{\Gamma_i^\circ\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *a locally finite covering of* $\mathbb{R}^d$,
- *for any* $C_1, ..., C_N \in \overline{\Gamma}$ *the sets* $C_1, ..., C_N$ *do not touch,*
- $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_i \leq 2$ *for every* i.

Proof. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an enumeration of an arbitrary locally finite system of sets from Γ with diameter smaller than 1, for which $\{D_i^\circ\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Using Lemma 7.4 inductively we can construct $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \Gamma$ with the following properties:

- $D_i \subset C_i \subset (D_i + B(0, \frac{1}{2}))$ for every i
- if $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_N$ then $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \ldots, C_{i_N}$ do not touch with.

Put $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. The above conditions immediately imply statement of the Corollary. ☐

Lemma 7.6. *Let* M *be a compact* d*-dimensional d.c. submanifold of* $\mathbb{R}^d$. *Then there are closed sets* $V_1, ..., V_k$ *such that*

(a) $M \subset V := \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j$
(b) *for any* j, *if* V_j *hits* M *then there exists* α *with* $V_j \subset U_\alpha$,
(c) $M, V_1, \ldots, V_k$ *do not touch.*

Proof. Since the covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ is locally finite and M is compact we can find $r > 0$ such that for every $x \in M$ there is α with $B(x,r) \subset U_\alpha$.

Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the system obtained from Corollary 7.5. Consider $\{V_i'\}_{i=1}^\infty$ being the system $\{\frac{r}{6}\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Let $V_1'', \dots, V_k''$ be a system of all V_i' with the property that there is some α such that $V_i' \subset U_\alpha$. Since $\{V_i'\}$ is a covering and since $\operatorname{diam} V_i \leq \frac{r}{2}$ for every i one can see that

$$M \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j'' \subset \bigcup_\alpha (U_\alpha)_{-\frac{r}{6}}$$

Here by $U_{-\delta}$ for $\delta > 0$ we mean the so called inner parallel set $U_{-\varepsilon} = \{x : B(x,\delta) \subset U\}$. This means that there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $V_1''', \dots, V_k''' \in \Gamma$ with the property that $\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(V_i'', V_i''') \leq \varepsilon$ for every i, we have

$$M \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j''' \subset \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$$

Choose $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \Gamma$ in a way that $\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(V_i'', V_i) \leq \varepsilon$ and so that

$$\partial V_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k \bigcup_{\alpha \in E_j} (v_j^\perp + \alpha v_j).$$

Now, properties (a) and (b) follow directly from the construction and property (c) follows from Lemma 7.2. □

8. Legendrian cycles of d.c. submanifolds

For $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ let $D := [-5,5]^{d-1}$ and let $f : D \to [0,1]$ be a delta-convex function fixed for this whole section. Let further $\Psi : D \to [0,1]$ be a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function such that

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in D, \\ 0, & x \in D \setminus (-3,3)^{d-1} \end{cases}$$

Since Ψ is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ it is delta-convex as well. By the result of Hartman (see Corollary at p.2 of [9]) the function $g_0 : D \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $g_0 = f \cdot \Psi$ is also delta-convex and

$$g_0(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [-2,2]^{d-1}, \\ 0, & x \in D \setminus (-3,3)^{d-1} \end{cases}$$

Denote L the Lipschiz constant of g_0.

Let $g_\varepsilon : D \to [0,1]$ for $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ be defined as

$$g_\varepsilon(x) = g * \Phi_\varepsilon(x) + L\varepsilon.$$

Note that $g_\varepsilon(x) = L\varepsilon$ for $x \in D \setminus (-4,4)^{d-1}$.

For $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ let M_ε be a compact set defined as

$$M_\varepsilon := \operatorname{subgr} g_\varepsilon \cap C \tag{13}$$

where $C = D \times [-2,\infty)$.

Now, since for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varepsilon(x) - g_0(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} (g_0(y) - g_0(x) + L\varepsilon)\Phi_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \\ &= \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} (g_0(y) - g_0(x) + L\varepsilon)\Phi_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \\ &\geq \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} (-L\varepsilon + L\varepsilon)\Phi_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain $M_\varepsilon \supset M_0$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let M_0, M_ε be as above. Then,* $\operatorname{reach} M_\varepsilon > 0$ *whenever $\varepsilon > 0$, hence, the normal cycles N_{M_ε} are defined, $\varepsilon > 0$. Further, the following conditions hold:*

(a) $\operatorname{nor} M_\varepsilon \to \operatorname{nor} M$, $\varepsilon \to 0$, *in the Hausdorff metric.*
(b) *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that the mass norm $\mathbf{M}(N_{M_\varepsilon}) \leq C$, $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Part (a) follows easily from (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.5, part (b) follows from Corollary 4.3, together with Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 in [5]. □

Proposition 8.2. *The set M_0 defined by* (13) *admits a unique Legendrian cycle satisfying* (4)*.*

Proof. The Legendrian cycles N_{M_ε} from Lemma 8.1 have uniformly bounded mass norm, hence, any subsequence has an accumulative point, by the Federer-Flemming's compactness theorem. Let N_{M_0} be the flat limit of a sequence $N_{M_{\varepsilon(k)}}$ ($\varepsilon(k) \to 0$). The current N_{M_0} has the following properties which are clearly preserved by the flat convergence: it is a compactly supported rectifiable $(d-1)$-current on $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$, it is a cycle and it is Legendrian. Further, clearly $\partial M_0 = \pi_0(\operatorname{spt}(N_{M_0}))$. Thus, M_0 admits a Legendrian cycle, N_{M_0}. It remains to show that N_{M_0} satisfies (4). (This will also imply, by the Fu's uniqueness theorem [6, Theorem 3.2], that the accumulation point is uniquely determined and, hence, that $N_X = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{X_\varepsilon}$.)

By Proposition 2.3, the halfspace $H_{n,t}$ does not touch M_0 for almost all $(n,t) \in S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 2.5, the same is true with M_ε for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, $M_0 \cap H_{n,t}$ is a Lipschitz submanifold (see [14, Lemma 1]), as well as $M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t}$ with sufficiently small ε, and clearly $M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t}$ converges to $M_0 \cap H_{n,t}$ in the Hausdorff distance. Further, [14, Lemma 1] yields

$$N(M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t}, p) \subset N(M_\varepsilon, p) + \{\alpha n : \alpha > 0\}, \quad p \in \partial M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t},$$

and the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 are fulfilled with the supernormal unit bundle

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{nor}} M_0 = \{(p,n) : p \in \partial M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t}, n \in S^{d-1} \cap (N(M_0,p) + \{\alpha n : \alpha > 0\}).$$

Thus, for almost all $n \in S^{d-1}$ and almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\chi(M_0 \cap H_{n,t}) = \chi(M_\varepsilon \cap H_{n,t}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Further, we have by (6)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{J}(N_{M_\varepsilon}, n, t)(\varphi_0) = \mathcal{J}(N_{M_0}, n, t)(\varphi_0),$$

since $N_{M_\varepsilon} \to N_{M_0}$ in the flat seminorm. Thus, since (4) holds for N_{M_ε} (recall that $\operatorname{reach} M_\varepsilon > 0$), it holds for N_{M_0} as well. □

Using Proposition 6.1, we can show the following local version of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for M_0.

Proposition 8.3. *Let M_ε be the sets defined by* (13) *and let V be a closed convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ not touching M_0. Then $M_0 \cap V$ admits a (unique) normal cycle $N_{M_0\cap V}$ and*

$$N_{M_0\cap V}(\varphi_0) = \chi(M_0 \cap V). \tag{14}$$

Moreover, the normal cycles $N_{M_0\cap V}$ locally agree with N_{M_0}:

$$N_{M_0\cap V}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V = N_{M_0}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Proposition 6.1 yields that the cycles $N_{M_\varepsilon \cap V}$ have bounded mas in ε, hence, using the standard procedure as in the proof of Proposition 8.2 shows that we can define $N_{M_0\cap V}$ as the flat limit of $N_{M_\varepsilon\cap V}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$. Using further the property $N(M_\varepsilon \cap V, p) \subset N(M_\varepsilon, p) + N(V, p)$ valid for any $p \in \partial M_\varepsilon \cap \partial V$, see [14, Lemma 1], we can apply Proposition 5.1 for the Lipschitz submanifolds $M_\varepsilon \cap V$ (in an analogous way as in the proof of Proposition 8.2) to verify (14). Replacing V with intersections $V \cap H$ with halfspaces H, we can show that (4) holds, which means that $N_{M_0\cap V}$ is indeed the normal cycle of $M_0 \cap V$.

Formula (15) follows by approximation from the local determinacy of normal cycles for sets with positive reach. □

Let us represent the rectifiable current N_{M_0} in the form (3)

$$N_{M_0} = (\mathcal{H}^{d-1}\lfloor W) \wedge a_{M_0}\iota_{M_0}$$

with an integrable integer-valued index function ι_{M_0}. We shall show that condition (4) implies a local form of the index function.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the system of all convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^d$ not touching M_0. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{V}$ is a Vitali system, i.e., that for any $x \in M_0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{Int} V$ and $V \subset B(x, \delta)$. If f is a function defined on $\mathcal{V}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $\lim_{V\to x} f(V) = a$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(V) - a| < \varepsilon$ whenever $x \in \operatorname{Int} V$ and $V \subset B(0, \delta)$.

Lemma 8.4. *For $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$-almost all $n \in S^{d-1}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\iota_{M_0}(x,n) = \lim_{V\to x} \operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{\delta\to 0_+} \left(\chi(M_0 \cap V \cap H_{-n,-t+\delta}) - \chi(M_0 \cap V \cap H_{-n,-t-\delta})\right).$$

Proof. As in the proof of [15, Proposition 5], we can show that for a.a. $n \in S^{d-1}$, all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.a. $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}(N_{M_0}, -n, -t+\delta) - \mathcal{J}(N_{M_0}, -n, -t-\delta) = \sum_{x\colon |x\cdot n - t| < \delta} \iota_{M_0}(x,n),$$

with a finite number of summands. Consequently, using the property (4),

$$\sum_{x\colon x\cdot n = t} \iota_{M_0}(x,n) = \operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{\delta\to 0_+} \left(\chi(M_0 \cap H_{-n,-t+\delta}) - \chi(M_0 \cap H_{-n,-t-\delta})\right). \tag{16}$$

Now, fix a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $x \cdot n = t$ and such that $\iota_{M_0}(x,n) \neq 0$. We intersect M_0 and M_ε with a sufficiently small set $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and apply the same procedure as above with $M_0 \cap V, M_\varepsilon \cap V$ instead of M_0, M_ε. We get

$$\begin{aligned}(17)\quad &\sum_{y\colon y\cdot n=t} \iota_{M_0\cap V}(x,n)\\ &\quad= \operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{\delta\to 0_+}\left(\chi(M_0\cap V)\cap H_{-n,-t+\delta}) - \chi(M_0\cap B(x,r)\cap H_{-n,-t-\delta})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since the normal cycles of sets with positive reach are locally determined, we have

$$N_{M_\varepsilon}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V = N_{M_\varepsilon\cap V}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V,$$

and by approximation, also

$$N_{M_0}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V = N_{M_0\cap V}\lfloor \operatorname{Int} V.$$

Thus, $\iota_{M_0\cap V}(x,n) = \iota_{M_0}(x,n)$, the sum in (17) reduces to a single summand $y = x$ if $V \ni x$ is small enough, and

$$\iota_{M_0}(x,n) = \operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{\delta\to 0_+}\left(\chi(M_0\cap V\cap H_{-n,-t+\delta}) - \chi(M_0\cap V\cap H_{-n,-t-\delta})\right)$$

for sufficiently small $r > 0$, which yields the desired result. □

Theorem 8.5. *Any compact d-dimensional d.c. submanifold M of* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *admits a Legendrian cycle* N_M *with index function fulfilling for* $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$*-almost all* $n \in S^{d-1}$ *and all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(18)\quad \iota_M(x,n) = \lim_{V\to x}\operatorname*{ess\,lim}_{\delta\to 0_+}\left(\chi(M\cap V\cap H_{-n,-t+\delta}) - \chi(M\cap V\cap H_{-n,-t-\delta})\right).$$

Proof. Let M be a compact d-dimensional d.c. submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consider a sufficiently fine finite atlas $(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha\le m}$ of M, i.e., U_α are open sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ covering M and ϕ_α are d.c. mappings defined on $(d-1)$-dimensional subspaces such that $M \cap U_\alpha = \operatorname{subgr}\phi_\alpha \cap U_\alpha$ for any α. Each set $M \cap U_\alpha$ can be made subset of a set $M_0(\alpha)$ of the form (13) which is geometric and has its unique Legendrian cycle $N_M(\alpha)$. Using the uniqueness, we easily verify that for any α, β,

$$N_M(\alpha)\lfloor(U_\alpha\cap U_\beta) = N_M(\beta)\lfloor(U_\alpha\cap U_\beta),$$

hence, we can define the Legendrian cycle N_M by setting

$$N_M\lfloor U_\alpha := N_M(\alpha)\lfloor U_\alpha,\quad 1\le\alpha\le m.$$

It is thus clear that N_M satisfies (18). □

The global properties of the cycle N_M are not immediately clear from the definition. In fact, if $(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha\le m}$ is a d.c. atlas of M as in the proof of Theorem 8.5, we get from the local definition of N_M that

$$(19)\qquad N_{M\cap V}(\varphi_0) = \chi(M\cap V)$$

as in Proposition 8.3, but only for closed convex sets V not touching M and contained in some of the open sets U_α.

We shall get the global properties from the following additivity property which is of independent interest.

Theorem 8.6. *Let* M, M' *be two compact d-dimensional Lipschitz submanifolds in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *that do not touch. Then, their Legendrian cycles defined (uniquely) by Theorem 8.5 fulfill*

$$N_M + N_{M'} = N_{M\cup M'} + N_{M\cap M'}.$$

Proof. It is clearly sufficient to show the additivity property locally, i.e., that any point has a neighbourhood U such that

$$N_M\lfloor U + N_{M'}\lfloor U = N_{M\cup M'}\lfloor U + N_{M\cap M'}\lfloor U. \tag{20}$$

Let $U\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ be a sufficiently small open set such that both sets $M\cap U$ and $M'\cap U$ are subgraphs of d.c. functions f, f' defined on the same subspace of dimension $d-1$. Then, $M\cap M'$, $M\cup M'$ are subgraphs of $f\wedge f'$, $f\vee f'$, respectively. Let $M_\varepsilon, M'_\varepsilon$ be compact sets associated with the functions f, f' as constructed in (8), and such that M_0, M'_0 agrees with the subgraph of f, f', respectively, on U. Using the arguments from the proof of Proposition 5.8, we see that $N_{M_0} = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{M_\varepsilon}$ and $N_{M'_0} = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{M'_\varepsilon}$ and, thus, $N_M\lfloor U = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{M_\varepsilon}\lfloor U$ and $N_{M'}\lfloor U = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{M'_\varepsilon}\lfloor U$. We shall show that also

$$N_{M\cap M'}\lfloor U = (F)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} N_{M_\varepsilon\cap M'_\varepsilon}\lfloor U \tag{21}$$

(and analogously with the union), which will imply the assertion, since the smooth sets M_ε, M'_ε have clearly additive normal cycles. Since M_ε and M'_ε do not touch, we may apply Proposition 6.1 to get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathbf{M}(N_{M_\varepsilon\cap M'_\varepsilon}) < \infty.$$

Using the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 5.8 we find that $N_{M_\varepsilon\cap M'_\varepsilon}$ converges in the flat seminorm to a cycle and, as in Lemma 5.9, we can determine its index function which verifies its coincidence with $N_{M\cap M'}$ on U. The case of union is treated analogously and the proof is finished. □

Using additivity, we can now relate the zeroth curvature measure of a d.c. manifold to the Euler characteristic also for larger sets.

Proposition 8.7. *Let M be a compact d-dimensional d.c. submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Legendrian cycle N_M and sufficiently fine d.c. atlas $(U_\alpha,\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha\le m}$. Let V be a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ that can be written an a finite union*

$$V = \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j$$

of closed convex sets such that

(a) *for any j, if V_j hits M then there exists α with $V_j\subset U_\alpha$,*
(b) *$M, V_1,\dots,V_k$ do not touch.*

Then

$$N_{M\cap V}(\varphi_0) = \chi(M\cap V).$$

Proof. Let $(U_\alpha,\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha\le m}$ be the d.c. atlas of M as in the proof of Theorem 8.5. For any j, we have $N_{M\cap V_j}(\varphi_0) = \chi(M\cap V_j)$ by assumptions. The first results follows now by additivity of the Euler chracteristic and by Theorem 8.6.

□

Using the additivity we can also prove the final result.

Theorem 8.8. *Any compact d-dimensional d.c. submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^d$ admits a normal cycle, N_M.*

Proof. We have to show that the cycle N_M fulfills (4). Let a halfspace $H_{v,t}$ not touch M (which is the case of almost all halfspaces, see Proposition 2.3). Let $M \subset \bigcup_i V_i$ be the covering from Lemma 7.6. Let I be any finite set of indeces and denote $V_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} V_i$. Then, M and V_I do not touch, $M \cap V_I$ is a d.c. submanifold contained in some of the domains U_α and, by Proposition 8.3,

$$\mathcal{J}(N_{M\cap V_I}, v, t) = \chi(M \cap V_I \cap H_{v,t}),$$

provided that neither V_I touches $H_{v,t}$. Using now the additivity of the Euler characteristic, additivity of the normal cycles of d.c. submanifolds (Theorem 8.6) and the fact that the functional $\mathcal{J}(T, v, t)$ depends additively on the current T (see (7)), we get the required formula

$$\mathcal{J}(N_M, v, t) = \chi(M \cap H_{v,t})$$

for almost all halfspaces $H_{v,t}$. □

References

[1] Almgren, F.J., Allard, W. K.: *Geometric Measure Theory a the Calculus of Variations.* Proc. Sym. Pure Math. **44** 1986

[2] Ambrosio, L., Fusco, N., Pallara D.: *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000

[3] Clarke F.H.: *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis.* J. Wiley, New York 1983

[4] Federer, H.: Curvature measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 418–491

[5] Fu, J.H.G.: Monge-Ampère functions I. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38**, no. 3, (1989), 745-771

[6] Fu, J.H.G.: Curvature measures of subanalytic sets. *Amer. J. Math.* **116** (1994), 819–880

[7] Fu, J.H.G.: Stably embedded surfaces of bounded integral curvature. *Adv. Math.* **152** (2000), no. 1, 28-71

[8] Fu, Joseph H. G.: An extension of Alexandrov's theorem on second derivatives of convex functions *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), 2258-2267

[9] Hartman, P.: On functions representable as a difference of convex functions *Pacific J. Math.* **9**, (1959), 707-713

[10] Jordan, Ch.: *Calculus of finite differences. Third Edition.* Chelsea Publishing Co., New York 1965

[11] Pavlica, D., Zajíček, L.: On the directions of segments and r-dimensional balls on a convex surface *J. Convex Anal.* **14**, no. 1, (2007), 149-167

[12] Rataj J., Zähle M.: Mixed curvature measures for sets of positive reach and a translative integral formula. *Geom. Dedicata* **57** (1995), 259-283

[13] Rataj J., Zähle M.: Curvatures and currents for unions of sets with positive reach, II. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **20** (2001), 1–21

[14] Rataj J., Zähle M.: Normal cycles of Lipschitz manifolds by approximation with parallel sets. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **19** (2003), 113–126

[15] Rataj J., Zähle M.: General normal cycles and Lipschitz manifolds of bounded curvature. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **27** (2005), 135–156

[16] Schneider, R.; Weil, W., *Stochastic and integral geometry. Probability and its Applications.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2008

[17] Sulanke R. Wintgen P.: *Differentialgeometrie und Faserbündel.* Berlin 1972.

[18] Zähle M.: Integral and current representation of Federer's curvature measures. *Arch. Math.* **46** (1986), 557–567

Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Sokolovská 83, 18675 Praha 8, Czech Republic

E-mail address: `dpokorny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, rataj@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`